Subject:	Re: [NearlyFreeSpeech] Forwarded: Request for website to be removed
From:	Kent Madin (rett139@yahoo.com)
То:	louise.colban@police.vic.gov.au; melbournenorth-uni-oic@police.vic.gov.au;
Date:	Friday, December 2, 2016 8:19 PM

## Constable Colban,

As you know, I found you on Facebook, sent a friend request and asked if you were, in fact, the officer in charge of the cyberstalking investigation.

It was not my intention to alarm you. Here's why I did that.

I found it extraordinary that a police officer would take the initiative to demand the removal of private property and the suppression of free speech before the court has properly adjudicated whether or not libel is afoot. That seems like police over reach and a sergeant in your office agreed that at a minimum, it was unusual. It also seemed suspicious since making such a request would, by it's very nature, inform me of the existence of an investigation. That didn't seem like a proper police routine either. Because of those circumstances, I questioned whether or not the inquiry to Nearly Free Speech was authentic. On two other occasions, Earl de Blonville has stooped to subterfuge to hide his ownership of a truly libelous site. https://web.archive.org/web/20140421150321/http://www.kentmadincyberstalker.com

I assume your agency has the internet tools to look back into the ownership history of that URL to the original purchaser. If not, let me know and I will give you my logon information for domaintools.com. While there, look up kentmadin.info as well.

Or you can just look at this screen

print: http://earldeblonville.net/Kentmadincyberstalker.com%20-%20Whois%20History%20-%20DomainTools.htm

And secondly, de Blonville created a "blog" (now taken down, see attached screen print) with much of the same material as in the URL above and the same claims already reviewed an rejected by US police authorities. Then he tried to change the email (his) associated with ownership of the blog to my email, without realizing that it would trigger an automatic confirmation request sent to my email. It occurred to me that if Mr. de Blonville had written to NFS impersonating you, he might not have realized it would trigger a notice to me. I have saved and screen printed the documentation of that and shared it with the FBI. I am currently in contact with the administrator of the blog site for help documenting the ownership.

So when I got a notice that seemed fishy, I first tried to determine if there really was a Constable Louise Colban. Facebook and the police solidarity ribbon seemed to confirm that but I asked directly as well, just in case Mr. de Blonville was aware of you and assuming your identity without your knowledge. I confirmed through a call to your station that there was a Constable Colban, but that didn't mean someone wasn't impersonating you. Then we spoke directly. I made a "friend" request, not because I care about pictures of your cat, but to offer you access to a more complete picture of me.

about:blank 1/5

Mr. de Blonville is an intentional, self-made, purpose-built, bespoke public figure, and in my opinion, a natural born con artist with a well documented history of same. He has crafted his public persona to maximize his commercial appeal. He has embraced his role as victim of stalking in order to attract sympathy for himself and divert attention from legitimate questions about his business and professional claims. He even tried to turn a few bucks as an expert consultant on the subject of cyberstalking. No public figure or commercial vendor is immune from scrutiny or the consequences of the public airing of deceit. And no one should use spurious allegations and police resources to intimidate critics and guash protected speech.

Do you have the email exchange with Tucows of Mr. de Blonville's previous effort to have the site taken down, in which he declares me a psychopath, criminal and suggests my motivation is a sexual attraction to men? I am happy to forward it to you.

Sincerely,

Kent Madin

On Monday, November 28, 2016 6:55 PM, Kent Madin <rett139@yahoo.com>wrote:

Dear Constable Colban, Nice talking with you today.

I'd like to address the issue of Mr. de Blonville's CV which you specifically noted as being used without permission.

Mr. de Blonville posted his CV on his Academia.edu page, in order to make it visible to the public. This is a page whose purpose is the sharing of thoughts, comments, papers and yes, CV's with the public at large. In the course of researching the accuracy of many of Mr. de Blonville's public statements, I also researched the veracity of the various entries in his CV. I am happy to provide the documentation that corroborates the annotations in that CV. Mr. de Blonville has declined the open offer to correct any information in those annotations which is inaccurate.

My understanding of the Terms and Conditions of use for Academia.edu is that Member Content (anything a member uploads) is public information which can be downloaded and redistributed as long as the purpose is not commercial.

"Subject to your compliance with the terms and conditions of these Terms, Academia.edu authorizes you to download, view and print any Academia.edu Content, solely for your personal and non-commercial purposes, and to access and use the Site and Services, including to download, view and print any Member Content solely for your personal and non-commercial purposes, and subject to the restrictions set forth in these Terms."

Sincerely,

Kent Madin

## On Monday, November 28, 2016 2:08 PM, Kent Madin <a href="mailto:rett139@yahoo.com">rett139@yahoo.com</a>> wrote:

Dear Constable Colban,

I received notice today (see attached) that you have demanded, via the hosting company, that my website earldeblonville.net be removed. I am happy to speak with you about this matter.

I have to admit, I find it very odd that a police officer would make such a request, since it suggests that the investigative arm of law enforcement (police) has taken on the authority of the adjudication arm (courts), in effect concluding that the allegations are true. It also strikes me as odd that you would come to that conclusion and take this action without contacting me first.

That said, I am not in any way intending to be uncooperative or hostile to your investigation. Both the Bozeman Police department (Montana) and the local office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation have reviewed the allegations brought by Mr. de Blonville and several others, self-proclaimed explorers who suffer from cyberstalking. Both those investigative agencies have interviewed me with my full cooperation. They sent the results of their investigations to the Bozeman City Attorney and the United States Attorney for the State of Montana and both offices determined there was no basis for charges of any kind. I am happy to put you in touch with the Steve Crawford, Bozeman Chief of Police and SA Shiloh Allen of the FBI.

As you may know, Mr. de Blonville has been claiming there is an investigation going on in Australia for about two years. My own conversations with the Melbourne police (twice) indicated that there was no such investigation either ongoing or closed.

I'd like to point out that in most legal definitions, cyberstalking must include an element of threat of harm, physical or otherwise. As a portmanteau word, it also carries much darker elements like anonymity, obsession, sexual depravity, etc. none of which are present in my websites or communications. I have always been transparent in my communications with and about Mr. de Blonville. Mr. de Blonville has made many public representations about himself in support of his commercial ventures including his experience, expertise, etc. etc. If those claims are shown to be false, disingenuous, intentionally inflated or misleading then the only injury to Mr. de Blonville is self-inflicted.

Perhaps this is the email Mr. de Blonville has presented as an example of a threat of physical harm:

"In Montana when someone behaves like you do, we bust their smart-assed fucking face to teach them a long-overdue lesson in humility, as obviously they have been getting away with this kind of behavior for far too long.

Let me know your home address so that a few of my rather interesting friends can fit a visit into their busy travelling schedules. Understand that they may not all be

about:blank

able to attend at once, but will visit sequentially. OK with you?"

I look forward to speaking with you at your convenience. There is an 18/4 hour difference between Australia and Montana so between 2 pm and 9 pm MST works for me.

Kent Madin Bozeman, Montana +1406-595-2310 Skype KentinBZN

On Monday, November 28, 2016 12:55 AM, NearlyFreeSpeech.NET Member Support <a href="mailto:support@NearlyFreeSpeech.NET">support@NearlyFreeSpeech.NET</a> wrote:

Hello,

The below message was received at our support or abuse email address. It appears to pertain to you. As a courtesy, we are forwarding you a copy.

Please contact us through our member support facilities if you need any assistance, but keep in mind we did not originate this message and cannot answer questions about its content.

Thanks,

NearlyFreeSpeech.NET Member Support

My name is Louise COLBAN and I am a Senior Constable of Police from Melbourne North Criminal Investigation Unit which is part of Victoria Police in Australia. I have carriage of a cyberstalking investigation where Earl DE BLONVILLE is listed as the victim. I am requesting the removal of a website which you are currently hosting, http://earldeblonville.net. The website is one facet of the investigation. The website itself is defamatory (libel) in nature and utilises material from DE BLONVILLE's own website without his permission, specifically his curriculum vitae.

Earl DE BLONVILLE has previously contacted you himself however you were unable to assist. He has also sent a 'cease to desist' request to Kent MADIN to have the site removed however this was not complied with.

I request that this website be removed.

Regards

Louise COLBAN | Senior Constable 37341 - North Melbourne CIU|

email:

louise.colban@police.vic.gov.au<mailto:louise.colban@police.vic.gov.au> |
web address:

www.police.vic.gov.au<a href="http://www.police.vic.gov.au/content.asp?Document\_ID=2">www.police.vic.gov.au<a href="http://www.police.vic.gov.au/content.asp?Document\_ID=2">www.police.vic.gov.au<a href="http://www.police.vic.gov.au/content.asp?Document\_ID=2">www.police.vic.gov.au/content.asp?Document\_ID=2</a>

phone: (03) 8379 0886| fax: (03) 8379 0887 | dx: 211387

address: 36 Wreckyn Street, North Melbourne 3051

\_\_\_\_\_

## **EMAIL DISCLAIMER**

This email and any attachments are the property of Victoria Police and should not

be disclosed. They may also be subject to copyright.

If you are not an intended recipient of this email please immediately contact us

by replying to this email and then delete this email. You must not read, use.

copy, retain, forward or disclose this email or any attachment.

We do not accept any liability arising from or in connection with unauthorised

use or disclosure of the information contained in this email or any attachment.

We make reasonable efforts to protect against computer viruses but we do not

accept liability for any liability, loss or damage caused by any computer virus

contained in this email.

[HTML content exists in the original message.]

## **Attachments**

• kentmadin.blog.com screen print with date.jpg (194.51KB)

about:blank 5/5