

To read the full annotations you may need to download this document and open it in Acrobat Reader or similar .pdf reading software.

April 18, 2019

Mitry Lawyers
Contact: Amelia Hagely
Email: amelia.hagley@mitry.com.au
Partner Responsible: Richard Mitry
Our Ref: RLM:AH:13605

Ms. Hagely,

The short response to your letter (annotated below) is “no”.

Mr. de Blonville is a purpose built, intentional public figure and the record will show that he has promoted himself, for commercial purpose, on the internet since 2008 or so. The first archived instance of his promotional website, earldeblonville.com is in 2010 but the URL was purchased in 2008 and as you probably know, archive.org does not immediately archive on the date a website appears. In the course of that self promotion he has made many claims about his experience, knowledge and accomplishments to underpin his claim to being “Australia's preeminent Arctic Explorer”, an “acclaimed author”, a “C-Suite Consultant”, etc. etc.

The record will show that Mr. de Blonville has made multiple claims in support of his pursuit of business (and academic study) that are demonstrably false and multiple claims that, while containing grains of truth, most reasonable people who consider intentional and self-serving exaggeration projecting an inaccurate picture of Mr. de Blonville. If Mr. de Blonville has knowingly misrepresented himself for personal gain then he has committed fraud. But then, you know that.

I note this from your letter: “We are instructed as to the following facts and circumstances”. I assume that is legalese for “Our client claims this and we will now repeat it”. Your client also “instructed” a young Constable of the Victoria Police in November of 2016 regarding the website in question, earldeblonville.net. They were a: that the website was defamatory, b: that material on the site (CV) was stolen from a private website and c: Mr. de Blonville had previously contacted my website host and asked that the site be removed. Upon examination, the Senior Sergeant in charge of Mr. deBlonville's allegation of being cyberstalked agreed that the last two statements were, in fact, false and the Constable was parroting what she had been “instructed” by Mr. de Blonville. The Sergeant also confirmed that the characterization of the site as “defamatory” was an “instruction” from Mr. de Blonville. Investigation closed without bothering to interview me. FYI.

The rest of the “instructions” your letter lists are so broad as to defy accurate response. “Our client is dishonest” for instance. Your client has claimed twice, in public documents, that he attended Ballarat Grammar School for four years. Reasonable people can agree that four years at Ballarat School infers that graduation occurred. According to Ballarat administration, your client only attended for 1.5 years and did not graduate. So, if a single act of dishonesty makes a person dishonest then you have your answer. If instead, there is some kind of volume of dishonesty needed to qualify, then that will be have to be addressed, under oath.

I have done my best to respond in the annotations to each of the allegations. As part of your due diligence I encourage you to examine the annotated CV (which Mr. de Blonville publicly posted after submitting to RMIT as part of his PH.d application) and which is found on earldeblonville.net. To the best of my knowledge, all the people who provided clarification of many of the claims on that CV are

still alive and can be contacted independently for confirmation of their input. No doubt Mr. de Blonville will contact RMIT's School of Graduate research and give them blanket permission to answer your questions about Mr. de Blonville's tenure at RMIT and the circumstances of his departure which he himself describes as being "kicked out" (FOIA docs). Members of the admissions committee and faculty advisers will need permission to speak frankly about their interactions with Mr. de Blonville.

I am still in consultation with a law firm there in NSW about my options for responding to your pending lawsuit including a counter-complaint or "summary judgment" as it's called here in the US.

I assume you know that I am currently appealing a couple of GIPA decisions made by Southern Cross University's legal department pertaining to the appointment of Earl de Blonville as an adjunct professor. The appeal is in the preliminary stages but should it go to a full hearing, testimony will be given under oath and I have prepared an initial list of people in Australia I would subpoena or seek sworn statements from in support of the accuracy of the material on earldeblonville.net.

It would save everyone much time and trouble if Mr. de Blonville just provided information that explained exactly what on the website page is false. The record will show I have encouraged him to do that and promised to remove and apologize for anything shown to be false.

Here's a link that among other things, makes it clear that the offer to clarify what he finds false has been offered.


<http://earldeblonville.net/earl%20de%20blonville%20tuacows%20exchange%20email.pdf>


Sincerely,

Kent Madin

1 April 2019

Mr. Kent Madin 
1178 Yellowstone Avenue,
Bozeman, MT











Mr. Kent Madin 
14543 Kelly Canyon Road
Bozeman, MT
59715-7612

Mr. Kent Madin 
108 Bozeman Avenue,
Bozeman MT 59715

By Email: rett139@yahoo.com

Dear Mr. Madin,

Our Client: Mr. Earle De Blonville
Re: Cease and Desist – Websites, Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and Academia.edu publications

1. We act for Mr. Earle De Blonville (**Mr. De Blonville**). 
2. We are instructed as to the following facts and circumstances. 
3. Since 2013, you have used multiple social media platforms to make defamatory statements and imputations about our client. 
4. These publications when opened were malicious and harmful to our client's professional reputation. 
5. These publications gave rise to imputations of the following effect:
 - a. That our client has falsified his academic and professional qualifications 
 - b. That our client is a fake explorer; 
 - c. That our client has been demoted from his place of employment; 
 - d. That our client is uneducated; 
 - e. That our client is dishonest; and 
 - f. That our client's non-for-profit organisation is owned and operated by two people with no discernible credentials relevant to the project. 

Sydney – Liberty Place
161 Castlereagh St
Sydney NSW 2000

T +61 2 9222 2833
F +61 2 9222 2855








Melbourne
430 Little Collins St
Melbourne VIC 3000

T + 61 3 9642 4404
F + 61 3 9642 4407

Please reply to **Sydney Office**.

Mitry Lawyers Pty Ltd ACN 149811281 t/as Mitry
Lawyers

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional
Standards Legislation. Legal Practitioners employed by Mitry
Lawyers are members of this scheme.

6. Each of these imputations are entirely false, and defamatory of our client 
7. Our client reserves his rights to refine and add to the imputations in due course.
8. We demand that you cease and desist publishing material which involves, references, or relates to our client. 
9. Immediately remove the following URL's:
 - a. Twitter Posts - @Kmadin
 - i. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/515204019313053696>;
 - ii. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/536921766518456320>;
 - iii. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/591776090265268224>;
 - iv. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/606861409071169537>;
 - v. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/774441472846237697>;
 - vi. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/824328722220273664>;
 - vii. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/849666971662733313>;
 - viii. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/861361263946944512>;
 - ix. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/807766647888977920>;
 - x. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/769560455350136832>;
 - xi. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/754481850681139200>;
 - xii. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/892892574054207488>; and
 - xiii. <https://twitter.com/kmadin/status/1070865328337371136>.
 - b. YouTube
 - i. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VeDBLto3YuY>; and 
 - ii. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YvH7mt-7_dM 
 - c. Facebook
 - i. <https://www.facebook.com/people/Earl-Bloomfield/100010480128707>. 
 - d. Websites 
 - i. <https://t.co/snn5DTcDgF>;
 - ii. <https://stalkingwalts.wordpress.com/2014/12/18/stalking-walts-fraudulent-explorers-and-cyberstalking-sleuths/>;
 - iii. <https://t.co/rwWwDdv7gx>;
 - iv. <http://earldeblonville.net/alternative%20facts.htm>;
 - v. http://earldeblonville.net/Earl_de_Blonville_PhD_Proposal%20RMIT%20annotated.pdf;
 - vi. <http://earldeblonville.net/indexedbmain.htm>;
 - vii. <http://earldeblonville.net/ORI%20Story.htm>;
 - viii. <http://earldeblonville.net/>;
 - ix. http://earldeblonville.net/CURRICULUM_VITAE_for_RMIT_Final%20annotated.pdf;
 - x. http://earldeblonville.net/Oceanic%20Research%20Institute%20_%20LinkedIn%20nov%202018.pdf; and
 - xi. <https://independent.academia.edu/KentMadinIndependentResearchJournalist>.- 10. In addition to removing the above, we demand that you cease all communication and contact with Mr. De Blonville along with any other known associates or colleagues of his. 

11. In the event this is not forthcoming, we expect instructions to commence proceedings for defamation without further notice and, if that becomes necessary, this letter will be relied upon in seeking aggravated damages in those proceedings.

12. Our client reserves their rights unconditionally.

Yours faithfully,

Mitry Lawyers